

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

LSE

July 18, 2013

してく 山 く 山 マ ふ 山 マ ふ 山 マ く 白 マ



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

1 Introduction

・ロット 中マット ボット 中マット

Introduction



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

What this talk is about?

- Security of the Windows Kernel
- Presentation of some exploits
- What changed in the security of the kernel, since Windows NT 5.1 (Windows XP)

Motivation for attacking the kernel

- Sandbox bypassing
- Full access to everything
- The fun

Plan

1 Introduction

- 2 Basics of Windows Kernel
- 3 CVE-2011-1237
- 4 Evolution from XP to 8
- 5 CVE-2013-3660
- 6 Conclusion



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロット 中国 マート 中国 うくり



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

2 Basics of Windows Kernel

してく 山 く 山 マ ふ 山 マ ふ 山 マ く 白 マ

Basics of Windows Kernel





Figure 3.3 The Win32 interface DLLs and their relation to the kernel components.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- HAL : The hardware abstraction layer (hal.dll)
- "a layer of software that deals directly with your computer hardware." (msdn)
- Layer for suporting different hardware with the same software
- HalDispatchTable : holds the addresses of a few HAL routines



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel mode driver
- Introduce in NT 4.0 for performance reason
- Two parts :
 - The Graphics Device Interface (GDI)
 - The Window Manager



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- User entities (Windows, menu, keyboard layout...)
- Managed by the Window Manager
- Represented by a handle
- Handle table keeps track of each user object
 - The address of the object
 - The type of the object
 - A flag
 - The owner and a wUniq value



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

- User entities (Windows, menu, keyboard layout...)
- Managed by the Window Manager
- Represented by a handle
- Handle table keeps track of each user object
 - The address of the object
 - The type of the object
 - A flag
 - The owner and a wUniq value

User objects

- User entities (Windows, menu, keyboard layout...)
- Managed by the Window Manager
- Represented by a handle
- · Handle table keeps track of each user object
 - The address of the object
 - The type of the object
 - A flag
 - The owner and a wUniq value





A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

Sac

User-Mode Callback



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- A way to communicate between kernel and user:
 - · access to some structures in user mode
 - used to support hooking
 - ...
- CBT-Hook: receive notifications from windows
- WindowProc: callback function wich processes the messages sent to a window

User-Mode Callback



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- A way to communicate between kernel and user:
 - · access to some structures in user mode
 - used to support hooking
 - ...
- CBT-Hook: receive notifications from windows
- WindowProc: callback function wich processes the messages sent to a window



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

3 CVE-2011-1237

ロ > < 日 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
 - During the creation of a new window, you can give parent in a CBT-Hook
 - Using another book during the creation, you cause destroy this window.
 - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with SetWindow TextW. We window use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
 - The parent is used at the end of LinkWindow, and its has been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロット 中国 マート 中国 うくの

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper *Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks*
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
 - During the creation of a new window, you can give a parent in a CBT-Hook
 - Using another hook during the creation, you can destroy this window
 - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with SetWindowTextW. We will use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
 - The parent is used at the end of LinkWindow, and it has been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper *Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks*
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
 - During the creation of a new window, you can give a parent in a CBT-Hook
 - Using another hook during the creation, you can destroy this window
 - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with SetWindowTextW. We will use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
 - The parent is used at the end of LinkWindow, and it has been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper *Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks*
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
 - During the creation of a new window, you can give a parent in a CBT-Hook
 - Using another hook during the creation, you can destroy this window
 - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with SetWindowTextW. We will use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
 - The parent is used at the end of *LinkWindow*, and it has been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper *Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks*
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
 - During the creation of a new window, you can give a parent in a CBT-Hook
 - Using another hook during the creation, you can destroy this window
 - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with *SetWindowTextW*. We will use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
 - The parent is used at the end of *LinkWindow*, and it has been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper *Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks*
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
 - During the creation of a new window, you can give a parent in a CBT-Hook
 - Using another hook during the creation, you can destroy this window
 - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with *SetWindowTextW*. We will use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
 - The parent is used at the end of *LinkWindow*, and it has been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool), based on his paper *Kernel Attacks through User-Mode Callbacks*
- Use After Free of a window object (User Object)
 - During the creation of a new window, you can give a parent in a CBT-Hook
 - Using another hook during the creation, you can destroy this window
 - We have a way to allocate a buffer with our content and the size we want with *SetWindowTextW*. We will use it to put what we want at the position of the free window
 - The parent is used at the end of *LinkWindow*, and it has been freed
- We can map the Null page and put our shellcode in it, in userland. Our goal is to call it



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

Basically, it just adds an element in a double chained list of windows

- clockObj: part of each User Object, reference counter
- Since we control one of the objects we can decrement an arbitrary a word by one
- If the clockObj is null, it calls the function HMDestroyUnlockedObject



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Basically, it just adds an element in a double chained list of windows
- clockObj: part of each User Object, reference counter
- Since we control one of the objects we can decrement an arbitrary a word by one
- If the clockObj is null, it calls the function HMDestroyUnlockedObject



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Basically, it just adds an element in a double chained list of windows
- clockObj: part of each User Object, reference counter
- Since we control one of the objects we can decrement an arbitrary a word by one
- If the clockObj is null, it calls the function *HMDestroyUnlockedObject*



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Basically, it just adds an element in a double chained list of windows
- clockObj: part of each User Object, reference counter
- Since we control one of the objects we can decrement an arbitrary a word by one
- If the clockObj is null, it calls the function HMDestroyUnlockedObject



















A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Create two windows (A & B)
- Activate the hook
- Create a third window (E)
 - HCBT_CREATEWIND: link with the window A
 - , which is the state of the sta
 - LinkWindow: decrement by one where we want.

・ロト・西ト・山下・山下・ 日・ ひゃぐ



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Create two windows (A & B)
- Activate the hook
- Create a third window (E)
 - HCBT_CREATEWND: link with the window A
 - WM_NCCHEALE: dosiroy A (Desiroy/Mindow), realics with a fake object (SetWindowTextW on Berty)
 - LinkWindow: decrement by one where we want.



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Create two windows (A & B)
- Activate the hook
- Create a third window (E)
 - HCBT_CREATEWND: link with the window A
 - WM_NCCREATE: destroy A (DestroyWindow), realloc with a fake object (SetWindowTextW on B)
 - LinkWindow: decrement by one where we want



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Create two windows (A & B)
- Activate the hook
- Create a third window (E)
 - HCBT_CREATEWND: link with the window A
 - WM_NCCREATE: destroy A (*DestroyWindow*), realloc with a fake object (*SetWindowTextW* on B)
 - LinkWindow: decrement by one where we want



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Create two windows (A & B)
- Activate the hook
- Create a third window (E)
 - HCBT_CREATEWND: link with the window A
 - WM_NCCREATE: destroy A (*DestroyWindow*), realloc with a fake object (*SetWindowTextW* on B)
 - LinkWindow: decrement by one where we want



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Create two windows (A & B)
- Activate the hook
- Create a third window (E)
 - HCBT_CREATEWND: link with the window A
 - WM_NCCREATE: destroy A (*DestroyWindow*), realloc with a fake object (*SetWindowTextW* on B)
 - · LinkWindow: decrement by one where we want

HMDestroyUnlockedObject

- HMDestroyUnlockedObject: takes the handle from the user object given as argument
- check this condition: (flag & 1) && !(flag & 2)
- if it is true, calls the destroying function for the object depending on his type
- If the type is 0 (already free): calls the null page

Standard

- the type for a window is 1
- in a standard moment the flag is 00



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロト・西ト・山田・山田・山下
- HMDestroyUnlockedObject: takes the handle from the user object given as argument
- check this condition: (flag & 1) && !(flag & 2)
- if it is true, calls the destroying function for the object depending on his type
- If the type is 0 (already free): calls the null page

Standard

- the type for a window is 1
- in a standard moment the flag is 00



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- HMDestroyUnlockedObject: takes the handle from the user object given as argument
- check this condition: (flag & 1) && !(flag & 2)
- if it is true, calls the destroying function for the object depending on his type
- If the type is 0 (already free): calls the null page

Standard

- the type for a window is 1
- in a standard moment the flag is 00



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- HMDestroyUnlockedObject: takes the handle from the user object given as argument
- check this condition: (flag & 1) && !(flag & 2)
- if it is true, calls the destroying function for the object depending on his type
- If the type is 0 (already free): calls the null page

Standard

- the type for a window is 1
- in a standard moment the flag is 00



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- HMDestroyUnlockedObject: takes the handle from the user object given as argument
- check this condition: (flag & 1) && !(flag & 2)
- if it is true, calls the destroying function for the object depending on his type
- If the type is 0 (already free): calls the null page

Standard

- the type for a window is 1
- in a standard moment the flag is 00



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- HMDestroyUnlockedObject: takes the handle from the user object given as argument
- check this condition: (flag & 1) && !(flag & 2)
- if it is true, calls the destroying function for the object depending on his type
- If the type is 0 (already free): calls the null page

Standard

- the type for a window is 1
- in a standard moment the flag is 00



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロット 中国 マート 中国 マート

• We create a first window (U)

- We decremant the flag of the handle of U by 3 using the use-after-free (0xFD)
- We decrement the type of the handle of U by 1 (0)
- We trigger once again the use-after-free
 - In LinkWindow we put a clockObj to 1, and the handler of the window U
 - when clockObj is decremented, call to HMDestroyUnlockedObject is done, that passes the test and calls the null page



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

・ロト・西ト・ヨー うへの



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We create a first window (U)
- We decremant the flag of the handle of U by 3 using the use-after-free (0xFD)
- We decrement the type of the handle of U by 1 (0)
- We trigger once again the use-after-free
 - In UnkWindow we put a clockObj to 1, handler of the window U
 - when clockObj is decremented, call to *HMDestroyUnlockedObject* is done, that passes the test and calls the null page



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We create a first window (U)
- We decremant the flag of the handle of U by 3 using the use-after-free (0xFD)
- We decrement the type of the handle of U by 1 (0)
- We trigger once again the use-after-free
 - In LinkWindow we put a clockObj to 1, and the handler of the window U
 - when clockObj is decremented, call to *HMDestroyUnlockedObject* is done, that passes the test and calls the null page



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We create a first window (U)
- We decremant the flag of the handle of U by 3 using the use-after-free (0xFD)
- We decrement the type of the handle of U by 1 (0)
- We trigger once again the use-after-free
 - In *LinkWindow* we put a clockObj to 1, and the handler of the window U
 - when clockObj is decremented, call to *HMDestroyUnlockedObject* is done, that passes the test and calls the null page



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We create a first window (U)
- We decremant the flag of the handle of U by 3 using the use-after-free (0xFD)
- We decrement the type of the handle of U by 1 (0)
- We trigger once again the use-after-free
 - In *LinkWindow* we put a clockObj to 1, and the handler of the window U
 - when clockObj is decremented, call to *HMDestroyUnlockedObject* is done, that passes the test and calls the null page



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We create a first window (U)
- We decremant the flag of the handle of U by 3 using the use-after-free (0xFD)
- We decrement the type of the handle of U by 1 (0)
- We trigger once again the use-after-free
 - In *LinkWindow* we put a clockObj to 1, and the handler of the window U
 - when clockObj is decremented, call to HMDestroyUnlockedObject is done, that passes the test and calls the null page



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

4 Evolution from XP to 8

・ロット 中マット ボット 中マット



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
 - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base
 + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
 - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base
 + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
 - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base
 + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
 - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base
 + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
 - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base
 + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
 - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base
 + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Kernel ASLR
 - Kernel Address = User Address Local module base
 + Kernel module base
- Enhanced /GS
- Guard pages
- DEP improvements
- NULL dereference protection
- Kernel pool integrity checks
- SMEP/PXN

SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Supervisor Mode Execution Protection / Privileged
 Execute Never
- Depends on the processor
- Prevents a kernel thread to execute code in userland
- SMEP is enabled or disabled via CR4 control register
- Possible to bypass
 - ROP
 - Store the shellcode into kernel space

・ロット 中国 マート 中国 マート

SMEP/PXN



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Supervisor Mode Execution Protection / Privileged Execute Never
- Depends on the processor
- Prevents a kernel thread to execute code in userland
- SMEP is enabled or disabled via CR4 control register
- Possible to bypass
 - ROP
 - Store the shellcode into kernel space



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Supervisor Mode Execution Protection / Privileged
 Execute Never
- Depends on the processor
- · Prevents a kernel thread to execute code in userland
- SMEP is enabled or disabled via CR4 control register
- Possible to bypass
 - ROP
 - Store the shellcode into kernel space

しゃん 前々 ふかく 山や (日)



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Supervisor Mode Execution Protection / Privileged
 Execute Never
- Depends on the processor
- · Prevents a kernel thread to execute code in userland
- SMEP is enabled or disabled via CR4 control register

- Possible to bypass
 - ROP
 - Store the shellcode into kernel space



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- Supervisor Mode Execution Protection / Privileged
 Execute Never
- Depends on the processor
- · Prevents a kernel thread to execute code in userland
- SMEP is enabled or disabled via CR4 control register

- Possible to bypass
 - ROP
 - · Store the shellcode into kernel space



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

5 CVE-2013-3660

・ロット 中マット ボット 中マット



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tavis Ormandy (@taviso)
- Exploit by Tavis Ormandy and progmboy
- In win32k!EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
- Uninitialized pointer for the next in a double linked list (part of a Path object in the GDI in win32k)
- To-userspace dereferences vulnerability
- We want to trigger a write-what-where vulnerability



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tavis Ormandy (@taviso)
- Exploit by Tavis Ormandy and progmboy
- In win32k!EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
- Uninitialized pointer for the next in a double linked list (part of a Path object in the GDI in win32k)
- To-userspace dereferences vulnerability
- We want to trigger a write-what-where vulnerability



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tavis Ormandy (@taviso)
- Exploit by Tavis Ormandy and progmboy
- In win32k!EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
- Uninitialized pointer for the next in a double linked list (part of a Path object in the GDI in win32k)
- To-userspace dereferences vulnerability
- We want to trigger a write-what-where vulnerability



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tavis Ormandy (@taviso)
- Exploit by Tavis Ormandy and progmboy
- In win32k!EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
- Uninitialized pointer for the next in a double linked list (part of a Path object in the GDI in win32k)
- To-userspace dereferences vulnerability
- We want to trigger a write-what-where vulnerability



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Vulnerability discovered by Tavis Ormandy (@taviso)
- Exploit by Tavis Ormandy and progmboy
- In win32k!EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
- Uninitialized pointer for the next in a double linked list (part of a Path object in the GDI in win32k)
- To-userspace dereferences vulnerability
- We want to trigger a write-what-where vulnerability

Pathrec struct



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

```
struct _PATHRECORD {
 struct _PATHRECORD * next;
 struct _PATHRECORD * prev;
 ULONG flags;
 ULONG count;
 POINTFIX points[x];
```

・ロット 中国 マート 中国 マート

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
 - The PATHALLOC system use HeavyAllocPool for allocating object but have is own implementation the free list
 - After allocating from HeavyAllocPool, it memse
 - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control
- We put a structure we created in userspace and we force the kernel to consider that is the next of his list



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
 - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
 - After allocating from HeavyAllocPool, it memsets to 0
 - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
 - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
 - After allocating from HeavyAllocPool, it memsets to 0
 - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
 - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
 - After allocating from HeavyAllocPool, it memsets to 0
 - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control
- We put a structure we created in userspace and we force the kernel to consider that is the next of his list



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
 - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
 - After allocating from HeavyAllocPool, it memsets to 0
 - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control
- We put a structure we created in userspace and we force the kernel to consider that is the next of his list



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660
Go to userspace

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
 - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
 - After allocating from HeavyAllocPool, it memsets to 0
 - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Go to userspace

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
 - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
 - After allocating from *HeavyAllocPool*, it memsets to 0
 - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control
- We put a structure we created in userspace and we force the kernel to consider that is the next of his list
 (□) (□) (□) (□) (□) (□)



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Go to userspace

- We need to make a specific AllocObject fail to trigger the exploitable condition: we need memory pressure.
- Allocation of the struct of a PATHREC is done of two possible ways
 - The PATHALLOC system use *HeavyAllocPool* for allocating object but have is own implementation of the free list
 - After allocating from *HeavyAllocPool*, it memsets to 0
 - But in the case of taking an element of the freelist it's not set to 0
- If we can spam the freelist with what we want we have big chances to have the next pointer where we want (in userspace)
- We can do that easily by flattening path with a lot of points we control
- We put a structure we created in userspace and we force the kernel to consider that is the next of his list



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- EPATHOBJ::bFlatten just goes through a list and calls pprFlattenRec if a flag is set on the element
- EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
 - allocates a new pathrec
 - initialises the new (but not the next at this point
 - sets the next of previous of the new to himself

inex-suoivence-weak = 1xence-weak = 1xence-weak

 if we control the struct we can write the position of the new struct created by pprFlattenRec



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- EPATHOBJ::bFlatten just goes through a list and calls pprFlattenRec if a flag is set on the element
- EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
 - allocates a new pathrec
 - initialises the new (but not the next at this point)
 - sets the next of previous of the new to himself

```
new->previous->next = new;
```

```
• ...
```

 if we control the struct we can write the position of the new struct created by pprFlattenRec



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- EPATHOBJ::bFlatten just goes through a list and calls pprFlattenRec if a flag is set on the element
- EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
 - allocates a new pathrec
 - initialises the new (but not the next at this point)
 - sets the next of previous of the new to himself

```
new->previous->next = new;
```

```
• ...
```

• if we control the struct we can write the position of the new struct created by *pprFlattenRec*



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- EPATHOBJ::bFlatten just goes through a list and calls pprFlattenRec if a flag is set on the element
- EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
 - allocates a new pathrec
 - initialises the new (but not the next at this point)
 - · sets the next of previous of the new to himself

new->previous->next = new;

- ...
- if we control the struct we can write the position of the new struct created by *pprFlattenRec*



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

- EPATHOBJ::bFlatten just goes through a list and calls pprFlattenRec if a flag is set on the element
- EPATHOBJ::pprFlattenRec
 - allocates a new pathrec
 - initialises the new (but not the next at this point)
 - · sets the next of previous of the new to himself

```
new->previous->next = new;
```

```
• ...
```

• if we control the struct we can write the position of the new struct created by *pprFlattenRec*

- We can write the address of something we don't control but we control the contents of the first pointer in it: it's the address of our next element in the list
- We can write in the *HalDispatchTable* our pointer on the next will be considered as code when calling the function.
- So we need an address which is a valid pointer for the *bFlatten* loop and a valid code for execution like

```
inc eax ; 0x40
jmp dword ptr [ebp+0x40] ; 0xff6540
```

- We will rewrite the HALDispatchTable[1], called by NtQueryIntervalProfile and not used for a lot of other things
- The ebp+0x40 corresponds to the second argument of the NtQueryIntervalProfile where we put the address of our shellcode



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We can write the address of something we don't control but we control the contents of the first pointer in it: it's the address of our next element in the list
- We can write in the *HalDispatchTable* our pointer on the next will be considered as code when calling the function.
- So we need an address which is a valid pointer for the *bFlatten* loop and a valid code for execution like

```
inc eax ; 0x40
jmp dword ptr [ebp+0x40] ; 0xff6540
```

- We will rewrite the HALDispatchTable[1], called by NtQueryIntervalProfile and not used for a lot of other things
- The ebp+0x40 corresponds to the second argument of the NtQueryIntervalProfile where we put the address of our shellcode



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We can write the address of something we don't control but we control the contents of the first pointer in it: it's the address of our next element in the list
- We can write in the *HalDispatchTable* our pointer on the next will be considered as code when calling the function.
- So we need an address which is a valid pointer for the *bFlatten* loop and a valid code for execution like

```
inc eax ; 0x40
jmp dword ptr [ebp+0x40] ; 0xff6540
```

- We will rewrite the HALDispatchTable[1], called by NtQueryIntervalProfile and not used for a lot of other things
- The ebp+0x40 corresponds to the second argument of the *NtQueryIntervalProfile* where we put the address of our shellcode



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We can write the address of something we don't control but we control the contents of the first pointer in it: it's the address of our next element in the list
- We can write in the *HalDispatchTable* our pointer on the next will be considered as code when calling the function.
- So we need an address which is a valid pointer for the *bFlatten* loop and a valid code for execution like

```
inc eax ; 0x40
jmp dword ptr [ebp+0x40] ; 0xff6540
```

- We will rewrite the *HALDispatchTable[1]*, called by *NtQueryIntervalProfile* and not used for a lot of other things
- The ebp+0x40 corresponds to the second argument of the *NtQueryIntervalProfile* where we put the address of our shellcode



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- We can write the address of something we don't control but we control the contents of the first pointer in it: it's the address of our next element in the list
- We can write in the *HalDispatchTable* our pointer on the next will be considered as code when calling the function.
- So we need an address which is a valid pointer for the *bFlatten* loop and a valid code for execution like

```
inc eax ; 0x40
jmp dword ptr [ebp+0x40] ; 0xff6540
```

- We will rewrite the *HALDispatchTable[1]*, called by *NtQueryIntervalProfile* and not used for a lot of other things
- The ebp+0x40 corresponds to the second argument of the *NtQueryIntervalProfile* where we put the address of our shellcode



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660





4 日 > 4 日 > 4 三 > 4 三 > 三 の へ ()



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Get the addresses in the kernel we need for the exploit (*HALDispatchTable*, ...)
- Allocate three structs PATHRECORD that we need, in particular the one at a precise address (0x4065ff40)
- Put memory pressure
- Put the address of our first PATHRECORD that we want into the freelist
- Flatten the path => write in the HALDispatchTable
- Call NtQueryIntervalProfile => get shellcode executed



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Get the addresses in the kernel we need for the exploit (*HALDispatchTable*, ...)
- Allocate three structs PATHRECORD that we need, in particular the one at a precise address (0x4065ff40)
- Put memory pressure
- Put the address of our first PATHRECORD that we want into the freelist
- Flatten the path => write in the HALDispatchTable
- Call NtQueryIntervalProfile => get shellcode executed



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Get the addresses in the kernel we need for the exploit (*HALDispatchTable*, ...)
- Allocate three structs PATHRECORD that we need, in particular the one at a precise address (0x4065ff40)
- Put memory pressure
- Put the address of our first PATHRECORD that we want into the freelist
- Flatten the path => write in the HALDispatchTable
- Call NtQueryIntervalProfile => get shellcode executed



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Get the addresses in the kernel we need for the exploit (*HALDispatchTable*, ...)
- Allocate three structs PATHRECORD that we need, in particular the one at a precise address (0x4065ff40)
- Put memory pressure
- Put the address of our first PATHRECORD that we want into the freelist
- Flatten the path => write in the HALDispatchTable
- Call NtQueryIntervalProfile => get shellcode executed



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Get the addresses in the kernel we need for the exploit (*HALDispatchTable*, ...)
- Allocate three structs PATHRECORD that we need, in particular the one at a precise address (0x4065ff40)
- Put memory pressure
- Put the address of our first PATHRECORD that we want into the freelist
- Flatten the path => write in the HALDispatchTable
- Call NtQueryIntervalProfile => get shellcode executed



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

- Get the addresses in the kernel we need for the exploit (*HALDispatchTable*, ...)
- Allocate three structs PATHRECORD that we need, in particular the one at a precise address (0x4065ff40)
- Put memory pressure
- Put the address of our first PATHRECORD that we want into the freelist
- Flatten the path => write in the HALDispatchTable
- Call NtQueryIntervalProfile => get shellcode executed



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

6 Conclusion

・ロト・西ト・川田・三田・ 日・ ひゃく



Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ◆ ○ ◆

- A lot of improvements between XP and Windows 8
- · Lot of checks so exploits are really harder
- Still doable

Questions ?



A look inside the Windows Kernel

Bruno Pujos

Introduction

Basics of Windows Kernel

CVE-2011-1237

Evolution from XP to 8

CVE-2013-3660

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

Questions ?

- Tarjei Mandt (@kernelpool)
- Tavis Ormandy (@taviso)
- Mateusz Jurvczyk (@j00ru)
- Alex Ionescu (@aionescu)
- Ivanlefou (@Ivanlef0u)